arXiv:1607.04855v1 [math.GR] 17 Jul 2016

MINIMAL GENERATING SYSTEMS AND PROPERTIES OF SYLOW 2-SUBGROUPS OF ALTERNATING GROUP

RUSLAN SKURATOVSKII

Abstract. The background of this paper is the following: search of the minimal systems of generators for this class of group which still was not founded also problem of representation for this class of group, exploration of systems of generators for Sylow 2-subgroups $Syl_2A_{2^k}$ and Syl_2A_n of alternating group, finding structure these subgroups.

The authors of [1] didn't proof minimality of finding by them system of generators for such Sylow 2-subgroups of A_n and structure of it were founded only descriptively. The aim of this paper is to research the structure of Sylow 2-subgroups and to construct a minimal generating system for such subgroups. In other words, the problem is not simply in the proof of existence of a generating set with elements for Sylow 2-subgroup of alternating group of degree 2^k and its constructive proof and proof its minimality. For the construction of minimal generating set we used the representation of elements of group by automorphisms of portraits for binary tree. Also, the goal of this paper is to investigate the structure of 2-sylow subgroup of alternating group more exactly and deep than in [1]. The main result is the proof of minimality of this generating set of the above described subgroups and also the description of their structure. Key words: minimal system of generators; wreath product; Sylow subgroups; group; semidirect product.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to research the structure of Sylow 2-subgroups of A_{2^k}, A_n and to construct a minimal generating system for it. Case of Sylow subgroup where $p = 2$ is very special because group $C_2 \wr C_2 \wr C_2 \ldots \wr C_2$ admits odd permutations, this case was not fully investigated in [1, 10]. There was a mistake in a statement about irreducibility that system of $k+1$ elements for $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ which was in abstract [3] on Four ukraine conference of young scientists in 2014 year. All undeclared terms are from [4, 5]. A minimal system of generators for a Sylow 2-subgroups of A_n was found. We denote by $v_{j,i}$ the vertex of X^j, which has the number i.

2. Main result

Let's denote by $X^{[k]}$ a regular truncated binary rooted tree (with number of levels from 0 to k but active states are only from $X^l, 0 \leq l \leq k-1$) labeled by vertex, $v_{j,i}X^{[k-j]}$ – subtree of $X^{[k]}$ with root in $v_{j,i}$. If the state in the vertex is non-trivial, then its label is 1, in other case it is 0. An automorphism of $X^{[k]}$ with non-trivial state in some of $v_{1,1}$, $v_{1,2}$, $v_{2,1}$,..., $v_{2,4}$, ... ,$v_{m,1}$, ... ,$v_{m,j}$, $m < k, j \leq 2^m$ is denoted by $\beta_{1,(i_{11},i_{12});\ldots;l,(i_{l1},\ldots,i_{l2^l});\ldots;m,(i_{m1},\ldots,i_{m2^m})}$ where the indexes l is the numbers of levels with non-trivial states, in parentheses after this numbers we denote a cortege of vertices of this level, $i_{mj} = 0$ if state in v_{mj} is trivial $i_{mj} = 1$ in other case. If for some l all $i_{lj} = 0$ then cortege $l, (i_{l1}, ..., i_{l2^l})$ does not figure

in indexes of β. But if numbers of vertexes with active states are certain, for example $v_{j,1}$ and $v_{j,s}$ we can use more easy notation $\beta_{j,(1,s);}$. If in parentheses only one index then parentheses can be omitted for instance $\beta_{j,(s);} = \beta_{j,s;}$. Denote by $\tau_{i,\dots,j}$ the automorphism, which has a non-trivial vertex permutation (v.p.) only in vertices $v_{k-1,i}$, ... ,$v_{k-1,j}$, $j \leq 2^{k-1}$ of the level X^{k-1}. Denote by τ the automorphism $\tau_{1,2^{k-1}}$. Let's consider special elements such that: $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = \beta_{0,(1,0,\dots,0)}, \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \beta_{1,(1,0,\dots,0)}, \dots, \alpha_l = \beta_l = \beta_{l,(1,0,\dots,0)}$.

Lemma 1. *Every state from X^l, $l < k-1$ determines an even permutation on X^k.*

Proof. Actually every transposition from X^l, $l < k-1$ acts at even number of pair of vertexes because of binary tree structure, so it realize even permutation (of set which consists of some vertexes of X^k) with cyclic structure [7] $(1^{2^{k-1}-2^{k-l-l}}, 2^{2^{k-l-l}})$ because it formed by the structure of binary tree. □

Corollary 1. *Due to Lemma* 1 *vertex permutations from $AutX^{[k-1]} = \langle \alpha_0 \dots., \alpha_{k-2} \rangle$ form a group: $B_{k-1} = \underbrace{C_2 \wr \dots \wr C_2}_{k-1}$ which acts at X^{k-1} by even permutations. Order of B_{k-1} equal to $2^{2^{k-1}-1}$.*

Let us denote by G_k the subgroup of $AutX^{[k]}$ such that $G_k \simeq Syl_2 A_{2^k}$ and W_{k-1} the subgroup of G_k that has active states only on X^{k-1}.

Proposition 1. *An order of W_{k-1} is equal to $2^{2^{k-1}-1}$, $k > 1$ its structure is $W_{k-1} \simeq (C_2)^{2^{k-1}-1}$.*

Proof. On X^{k-1} we have 2^{k-1} vertexes where can be group $V_{k-1} \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_2 \simeq (C_2)^{k-1}$, but as a result of the fact that X^{k-1} contains only even number of active states or active vertex permutations (v.p.) in vertexes of X^{k-1}, there are only half of all permutations from V_{k-1} on X^{k-1}. So it's subgroup of V_{k-1}: $W_{k-1} \simeq {}^{C_2^{2^{k-1}}}/_{C_2}$. So we can state: $|W_{k-1}| = 2^{k-1} - 1$, W_{k-1} has $k-1$ generators and we can consider W_{k-1} as vector space of dimension $k-1$. □

For example let's consider subgroup W_{4-1} of A_{2^4} its order is $2^{2^{4-1}-1} = 2^7$ and $|A_{2^4}| = 2^{14}$.

Lemma 2. *The elements τ and $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$ generates arbitrary element τ_{ij}.*

Proof. According to [5, 6] the set $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-2}$ is minimal system of generators of group $AutX^{[k-1]}$. Firstly, we shall proof the possibility of generating an arbitrary τ_{ij}, from set $v_{(k-1,i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^{k-2}$. Since $Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-2]} \simeq \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-2} \rangle$ acts at X^{k-1} transitively it follows an ability to permute vertex with a transposition from automorphism τ and stands in $v_{k-1,1}$ in arbitrary vertex $v_{k-1,j}$, $j \leq 2^{k-2}$ of $v_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$, for this goal we act by α_{k-j} at τ: $\alpha_{k-j}\tau\alpha_{k-j} = \tau_{j,2^{k-2}}$. Similarly we act at τ by corespondent α_{k-i} to get $\tau_{i,2^{k-2}}$ from τ: $\alpha_{k-i}\tau\alpha_{k-i}^{-1} = \tau_{i,2^{k-2}}$. Note that automorphisms α_{k-j} and $\alpha_{k-i}, 1 < i, j < k-1$ acts only at subtree $v_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ that's why they fix v.p. in $v_{k-1,2^{k-1}}$. Now we see that $\tau_{i,2^{k-2}}\tau_{j,2^{k-2}} = \tau_{i,j}$, where $1 \leq i, j < 2^{k-2}$. To get $\tau_{m,l}$ from $v_{1,2}X^{[k-1]}$, i.e. $2^{k-2} < m, l \leq 2^{k-1}$ we use α_0 to map $\tau_{i,j}$ in $\tau_{i+2^{k-2},j+2^{k-2}} \in v_{1,2}AutX^{[k-1]}$. To construct arbitrary transposition $\tau_{i,m}$ from W_k we have to multiply $\tau_{1,i}\tau\tau_{m,2^{k-1}} = \tau_{i,m}$. Lets realize natural number of $v_{k,l}$, $1 < l < 2^k$ in 2-adic

system of presentation (binary arithmetic). Then $l = \delta_{l_1} 2^{m_l} + \delta_{l_2} 2^{m_l - 1} + ... + \delta_{l_{m_l+1}},\ \delta_{l_i} \in \{0, 1\}$ where is a correspondence between δ_{l_i} that from such presentation and expressing of automorphisms: $\tau_{l,2^{k-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^{m_l} \alpha_{k-2-(m_l-i)}^{\delta_{l_i}} \tau \prod_{i=1}^{m_l} \alpha_{k-2-(m_l-i)}^{\delta_{l_i}}, 1 \leq m_l \leq k-2$. In other words $\langle \alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{k-2}, \tau \rangle \simeq G_k$. □

Corollary 2. *The elements from conditions of Lemma* 2 *is enough to generate basis of* W_{k-1}.

Theorem 1. *A maximal 2-subgroup* G_k *in* $AutX^{[k]}$*, that acts by even permutation on* X^k *has structure of inner semidirect product* $B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$.

Proof. A maximal 2-subgroup of $AutX^{[k-1]}$ is isomorphic to $B_{k-1} \simeq \underbrace{S_2 \wr S_2 \wr ... \wr S_2}_{k-1}$ (this group acts on X^{k-1}). A maximal 2-subgroup which has elements with active states only on X^{k-1} corresponds subgroup W_{k-1}. Since subgroups B_{k-1} and W_{k-1} are embedded in $AutX^{[k]}$, then define an action of B_{k-1} on elements of W_{k-1} as $\tau^\sigma = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1},\ \sigma \in B_{k-1},\ \tau \in W_{k-1}$, i.e. action of inner automorphism (inner action) from $AutX^{[k]}$. Note that W_{k-1} is subgroup of stabilizer of X^{k-1} i.e. $W_{k-1} < St_{AutX^{[k]}}(k-1) \lhd AutX^{[k]}$ and is normal too $W_{k-1} \lhd AutX^{[k]}$, because conjugation keeps a cyclic structure of permutation so even permutation maps in even. Therefore such conjugation induce automorphism of W_{k-1} and $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$.

Let us show it in other way with using another technique of permutations, for this goal to every $\sigma \in B_{k-1}$ ($\sigma(j) = i_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1}$), which acts on X^{k-1} (X^{k-1} has 2^{k-1} elements) set in correspondence a permutation

$$\beta_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 2, & 3, & 4, & ..., & 2^k \\ 2i_1 - 1, & 2i_1, & 2i_2 - 1, & 2i_2, & ..., & 2i_{2^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}, i_j \in 1, ..., 2^{k-1}.$$

Note that β_σ acts on 2^k elements as elements of W_{k-1} so we can multiply it. I.e. for element $\beta_\sigma(2i-1) = 2\sigma(i) - 1,\ \beta_\sigma(2i) = 2\sigma(i),\ \sigma_i \in \left\{1, 2, ..., 2^{k-1}\right\}$. Let us prove, that it is action of group really element e, $e \in B_{k-1}$ acts trivial. This operation is associative, since $\tau^{\sigma\phi} = (h^\sigma)^\phi = \phi\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\phi^{-1},\ \sigma, \phi \in B_{k-1}$.

Let us check that it is homomorphism. Let us consider mapping $(\tau_{2k-1,2k})^\sigma \mapsto \tau_{2n-1,2n}$, where $\tau_{2n-1,2n}$ is even transformation, so we completeness set of elements from W_{k-1} under last mapping. Since it is homomorphous mapping in a group $AutW_{k-1}$ from B_{k-1}. It's semidirect product $B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1} \simeq (\underbrace{S_2 \wr S_2 \wr ... \wr S_2}_{k-1}) \ltimes (\underbrace{C_2 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_2}_{2^{k-1}-1})$. Order of W_{k-1} is equal $2^{2^{k-1}-1}$ because at X^{k-1} is $2^{2^{k-1}}$ vertexes but only half of combinations of active states from X^{k-1} can form even permutation thus $|W_{k-1}| = 2^{2^{k-1}-1}$. Since at X^{k-1} is $2^{2^{k-1}}$ vertexes and half of combinations of active states from X^{k-1} can form even permutation thus $|W_{k-1}| = 2^{2^{k-1}-1}$ that is proved in Proposition 1. Using the Corollary 1 about B_{k-1} order of $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$ is $2^{2^{k-1}-1} \cdot 2^{2^{k-1}-1} = 2^{2^k-2}$. □

Lemma 3. *Orders of groups* $G_k = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{k-2}, \tau \rangle$ *and* $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ *are equal to* 2^{2^k-2}.

In accordance with Legender's formula, the power of 2 in $2^k!$ is $\left[\frac{2^k}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{2^k}{2^2}\right]+\left[\frac{2^k}{2^3}\right]+...+\left[\frac{2^k}{2^k}\right]=\frac{2^k-1}{2-1}$. We need to subtract 1 from it because we have only $\frac{n!}{2}$ of all permutations as a result: $\frac{2^k-1}{2-1}-1=2^k-2$. So $|Syl(A_{2^k})|=2^{2^k-2}$. The same order has group $G_k=B_{k-1}\ltimes W_{k-1}$: $|G_k|=|B_{k-1}|\cdot|W_{k-1}|=|Syl_2A_{2^k}|$, since order of groups G_k according to Proposition 1 and the fact that $|B_{k-1}|=2^{2^{k-1}-1}$ is 2^{2^k-2}. For instance the orders of $Syl_2(A_8)$, B_{3-1} and W_{3-1}: $|W_{3-1}|=2^{2^{3-1}-1}=2^3=8$, $|B_{3-1}|=|C_2\wr C_2|=2\cdot 2^2=2^3$ and according to Legendre's formula, the power of 2 in $2^k!$ is $\frac{2^3}{2}+\frac{2^3}{2^2}+\frac{2^3}{2^3}-1=6$ so $Syl_2(A_8)=2^6=2^{2^k-2}$, where $k=3$. Next example for A_{16}: $Syl_2(A_{16})=2^{2^4-2}=2^{14}, k=4$, $|W_{4-1}|=2^{2^{4-1}-1}=2^7$, $|B_{4-1}|=|C_2\wr C_2\wr C_2|=2\cdot 2^2\cdot 2^4=2^7$. So we have equality $2^72^7=2^{14}$ which endorse the formula.

Theorem 2. *The set $S_\alpha=\{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,...,\alpha_{k-2},\tau\}$ of elements from subgroup of $AutX^{[k]}$ generates a group G_k which isomorphic to $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$.*

Proof. As we see from Corollary 1, Lemma 2 and Corollary 2 group G_k are generated by S_α and their orders according to Lemma 3 is equal. So according to Sylow's theorems 2-subgroup $G_k<A_{2^k}$ is $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$. □

Consequently, we construct a generating system, which contains k elements, that is less than in [3].

The structure of Sylow 2-subgroup of A_{2^k} is the following: $\wr_{i=1}^{k-1}C_2\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1}C_2$, where we take C_2 as group of action on two elements and this action is faithful, it adjusts with construction of normalizer for $Syl_p(S_n)$ from [11], where it was said that $Syl_2(A_{2^l})$ is self-normalized in S_{2^l}.

Number of such minimal generating systems for G_k is $C^1_{2^{k-2}}C^1_{2^{k-2}}=2^{k-2}\cdot 2^{k-2}=2^{2k-4}$.

The structure of Syl_2A_{12} is the same as of the subgroup $H_{12}<Syl_2(S_8)\times Syl_2(S_4)$, for which $[Syl_2(S_8)\times Syl_2(S_4):H_{12}]=2$. $|Syl_2(A_{12})|=2^{[12/2]+[12/4]+[12/8]-1}=2^9$. Also $|Syl_2(S_8)|=2^7$, $|Syl_2(S_4)|=2^3$, so $|Syl_2(S_8)\times Syl_2(S_4)|=2^{10}$ and $|H_{12}|=2^9$, because its index in $Syl_2(S_8)\times Syl_2(S_4)$ is 2. The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as of $H_6<Syl_2(S_4)\times(C_2)$. Here $H_6=\{(g,h_g)|g\in Syl_2(S_4),h_g\in C_2\}$, where

$$\begin{cases}h_g=e,\ \ if\ g|_{L_2}\in Syl_2(A_6),\\ h_g=(5,6),\ if\ g|_{L_2}\in Syl_2(S_6)\setminus Syl_2(A_6),\end{cases}\tag{1}$$

The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as subgroup $H_6:H_6<Syl_2(S_4)\times(C_2)$ where $H_6=\{(g,h)|g\in Syl_2(S_4),h\in AutX\}$. So last bijection determined by (1) giving us $Syl_2A_6\simeq Syl_2S_4$. As a corollary we have $Syl_2A_{2^k+2}\simeq Syl_2S_{2^k}$. The structure of $Syl_2(A_7)$ is the same as of the subgroup $H_7:H_7<Syl_2(S_4)\times S_2$ where $H_6=\{(g,h)|g\in Syl_2(S_4),h\in S_2\}$ and h depends of g:

$$\begin{cases}h_g=e,\ \ if\ g|_{L_2}\in Syl_2A_7,\\ h_g=(i,j),i,j\in\{5,6,7\},\ if\ g|_{L_2}\in Syl_2S_7\setminus Syl_2A_7,\end{cases}\tag{2}$$

The generators of the group H_7 have the form $(g,h),\ g \in Syl_2(S_4),\ h \in C_2$, namely: $\{\beta_0;\beta_1,\tau\} \cup \{(5,6)\}$. An element h_g can't be a product of two transpositions of the set: $(i,j),(j,k),(i,k)$, where $i,j,k \in \{5,6,7\}$, because $(i,j)(j,k) = (i,k,j)$ but $ord(i,k,j) = 3$, so such element doesn't belong to 2-subgroup. In general elements of Syl_2A_{4k+3} have the structure (2), where $h_g = (i,j),\ i,j \in \{4k+1, 4k+2, 4k+3\}$ and $g \in Syl_2S_{4k}$.

Also $|Syl_2(S_8)| = 2^7$, $|Syl_2(S_4)| = 2^3$, so $|Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)| = 2^{10}$ and $|H_{12}| = 2^9$, because its index in $Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)$ is 2. The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as of $H_6 < Syl_2(S_4) \times (C_2)$. Here $H_6 = \{(g,h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h_g \in C_2\}$.

The orders of this groups are equal, really $|Syl_2(A_7)| = 2^{[7/2]+[7/4]-1} = 2^3 = |H_7|$. In case $g|_{L_2} \in S_7 \setminus A_7$ we have C_3^2 ways to construct one transposition that is direct factor in H which complete Syl_2S_4 to H_7 by one transposition : $\{(5,6);(6,7);(5,7)\}$.

The structure of $Syl_2(A_{2^k+2^l})$ $(k > l)$ is the same as of the subgroup $H_{2^k+2^l} < Syl_2(S_{2^k}) \times Syl_2(S_{2^l})$, for which $[Syl_2(S_{2^k}) \times Syl_2(S_{2^l}) : H] = 2$. $|Syl_2(A_{2^k+2^l})| = 2^{[(2^k+2^l)!/2]+[(2^k+2^l)!/4]+....-1}$. Here $H = \{(g,h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_2^k), h_g \in Syl_2(S_2^l)\}$, where

$$(3) \qquad \begin{cases} h \in A_{2^l}, \ \ if\ g|_{X^{k-1}} \in A_{2^k}, \\ h : h|_{X^2} \in Syl_2(S_{2^l}) \setminus Syl_2(A_{2^l}),\ if\ g|_{X^k} \in Syl_2(S_{2^k}) \setminus Syl_2(A_{2^k}), \end{cases}$$

The generators of the group H_7 have the form $(g,h),\ g \in Syl_2(S_4),\ h \in C_2$, namely: $\beta_0;\beta_1,\tau \cup (5,6)$.

I.e. for element $\beta_\sigma(2i-1) = 2\sigma(i) - 1,\ \beta_\sigma(2i) = 2\sigma(i),\ \sigma_i \in \left\{1,2,...,2^{k-1}\right\}$.

Property 1. Relation between structures of Sylow subgroups is given by $Syl_2(A_{4k+2}) \simeq Syl_2(S_{4k})$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Here $H_6 = \{(g,h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h_g \in C_2\}$, where

$$(4) \qquad \begin{cases} h_g = e, \ \ if\ g|_{L_k} \in Syl_2(A_{4k+2}), \\ h_g = (4k+1, 4k+2),\ if\ g|_{L_k} \in Syl_2(S_{4k+2}) \setminus Syl_2(A_{4k+2}), \end{cases}$$

The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as subgroup $H_6 : H_6 < Syl_2(S_4) \times (C_2)$ where $H_6 = \{(g,h) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h \in AutX\}$. So last bijection determined by (4) give us $Syl_2A_6 \simeq Syl_2S_4$. As a corollary we have $Syl_2A_{2^k+2} \simeq Syl_2S_{2^k}$. □

Property 2. Relation between orders of Sylows subgroup for $n = 4k-2$ and $n = 4k$ is given by $|Syl_2(A_{4k-2})| = 2^i\,|Syl_2(A_{4k})|$, where value i depend only of power of 2 in decomposition of prime number of k.

Proof. Really $|A_{4k-2}| = \frac{(4k-2)!}{2}$, therefore $|A_{4k}| = \frac{(4k-2)!}{2}(4k-1)4k$, it means that i determines only by k and isn't bounded. □

Lemma 4. *If $n = 4k+2$, then the group $Syl_2(A_n)$ is isomorphic to $Syl_2(S_{4k})$.*

Proof. Bijection correspondence between set of elements of $Syl_2(A_n)$ and $Syl_2(S_{4k})$ we have from (4). Let's consider a mapping $\phi : Syl_2(S_{4k}) \to Syl_2(A_{4k+2})$ if $\sigma \in Syl_2(S_{4k})$ then $\phi(\sigma) = \sigma \circ (4k+1, 4k+2)^{\chi(\sigma)} = (\sigma, (4k+1, 4k+2)^{\chi(\sigma)})$, where $\chi(\sigma)$ is number of transposition in σ by module 2.

So $\phi(\sigma) \in Syl_2(A_{4k+2})$. If $\phi(\sigma) \in A_n$ then $\chi(\sigma) = 0$, so $\phi(\sigma) \in Syl_2(A_{n-1})$. Check that ϕ is homomorphism. Assume that $\sigma_1 \in Syl_2(S_{4k} \backslash A_{4k})$, $\sigma_2 \in Syl_2(A_{4k})$, then $\phi(\sigma_1)\phi(\sigma_2) = (\sigma_1, h^{\chi(\sigma_1)})(\sigma_2, e) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2, h) = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \circ (4k+1, 4k+2)$, where $(\sigma_i, h) = \sigma_i \circ h^{\chi(\sigma_i)} \in Syl_2(A_{4k+2})$. If $\sigma_1,\ \sigma_2 \in S_{2^k} \backslash A_{2^k}$, then $\phi(\sigma_1)\phi(\sigma_2) = (\sigma_1, h^{\chi(\sigma_1)})(\sigma_2, h^{\chi(\sigma_2)}) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2,\ e) = (a,\ e)$, where $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = a \in A_{4k+2}$. So it is isomorphism. □

Remark 1. If $n = 4k$, then index $Syl_2(A_{n+3})$ in A_{n+3} is equal to $[S_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})](2k+1)(4k+3)$, index $Syl_2(A_{n+1})$ in A_{n+1} as a subgroup of index 2^{m-1}, where m is the maximal natural number, for which $4k!$ is divisible by 2^m.

Proof. For $Syl_2(A_{n+3})$ its order equal to maximal power of 2 which divide $(4k+3)!$ but $(4k+3)! = (4k+1)!(4k+2)(4k+3) = (4k+1)!2(2k+1)(4k+3)$ so $\mid Syl_2 A_{n+3} \mid = 2^m \cdot 2 = 2^{m+1}$. Indexes are next: $[S_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})] = \frac{(4k+1)!}{2^m}$ – by condition of Remark, so $[S_{4k+3} : Syl_2(A_{4k+3})] = [S_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})](2k+1)(4k+3) = \frac{(4k+1)!}{2^m}(2k+1)(4k+3)$. □

Remark 2. If $n = 2k$ then $[Syl_2(A_n) : Syl_2(S_{n-1})] = 2^{m-1}$, where m is the maximal power of 2 in factorization of n.

Proof. $|Syl_2(S_{n-1})|$ is equal to t maximal power of 2 in $(n-1)!$. $|Syl_2(A_n)|$ is equal to maximal power of 2 in $(n!/2)$. Since $n = 2k$ then $(n/2)! = (n-1)!\frac{n}{2}$ and 2^f is equal to product maximal power of 2 in $(n-1)!$ on maximal power of 2 in $\frac{n}{2}$. Therefore $\frac{|Syl_2(A_n)|}{|Syl_2(S_{n-1})|} = \frac{2^t}{2^{m-1}}2^t = 2^{m-1}$. Note that for odd $m = n-1$ the group $Syl_2(S_m) \simeq Syl_2(S_{m-1})$ i.e. $Syl_2(S_{n-1}) \simeq Syl_2(S_{n-2})$. The group $Syl_2(S_{n-2})$ contains the automorphism of correspondent binary subtree with last level X^{n-2} and this automorphism realizes the permutation σ on X^{n-2}. For every $\sigma \in Syl_2(S_{n-2})$ let us set in correspondence a permutation $\sigma(n-1, n)^{\chi(\sigma)} \in Syl_2(A_n)$, where $\chi(\sigma)$ – number of transposition in σ by $mod\, 2$, so it is bijection $\phi(\sigma) \longmapsto \sigma(n-1, n)\chi(\sigma)$ which has property of homomorphism, see Lemma 4. Thus we prove that $Syl_2(S_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow Syl_2(A_n)$ and its index is 2^{d-1}. □

Remark 3. For $n = 2k+1$ we have the isomorphism $Syl_2(A_n) \cong Syl_2(A_{n-1})$ and $Syl_2(S_n) \cong Syl_2(S_{n-1})$.

Proof. Orders of these subgroups are equal since Legender's formula count power of 2 in $2k+1$ and $2k$ but these powers are equal. So these maximal 2-subgroups are isomorphic. From Statement 1 can be obtained that in vertex with number $2k+1$ will be fixed to hold even number of transpositions on X^{k_1} from decomposition of n which is in Statement 1. For instance $Syl_2(A_7) \simeq Syl_2(A_6)$ and by the way $Syl_2(A_6) \simeq C_2 \wr C_2 \simeq D_4$, $Syl_2(A_{11}) \simeq Syl_2(A_{10}) \simeq C_2 \wr C_2 \wr C_2$. □

Remark 4. The ratio of $|Syl_2(A_{4k+3})|$ and $|Syl_2(A_{4k+1})|$ is equal to 2 and ratio of indexes $[A_{4k+3} : Syl_2(A_{4k+3})]$ and $[A_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})]$ is equal $(2k+1)(4k+3)$.

Proof. The ratio of $|Syl_2(A_{4k+3})| : |Syl_2(A_{4k+1})| = 2$ because formula of Legendere give us new one power of 2 in $4k+3$ in compering with $4k+1$. Second part of statement follows from theorem about p-subgroup of H, $[G : H] \neq kp$ then one of p-subgroups of H

is Sylow p-group of G. In this case $p=2$ but $|Syl_2(A_{4k+3})| : |Syl_2(A_{4k+1})| = 2$ so we have to divide ratio of indexes on 2. $\square$

Let us present new operation $\boxtimes$ (similar to that is in [1]) as a subdirect product of $SylS_{2^i}$, $n=\sum_{i=0}^{j} a_{k_i}2^i$, i.e. $SylS_{2^{k_1}} \boxtimes SylS_{2^{k_2}} \boxtimes ... \boxtimes SylS_{2^{k_l}} = Par(SylS_{2^{k_1}} \times SylS_{2^{k_2}} \times ... \times SylS_{2^{k_l}})$, where $Par(G)$ – set of all even permutations of G. Note, that $\boxtimes$ is not associated operation, for instance $ord(G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes G_3) = |G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3| : 2$ but $ord(G_1 \boxtimes G_2) \boxtimes G_3 = |G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3| : 4$. For cases $n=4k+1$, $n=4k+3$ it follows from formula of Legendre.

Statment 1. Sylow subgroups of S_n is isomorphic to $SylS_{2^{k_1}} \times SylS_{2^{k_2}} \times ... \times SylS_{2^{k_l}}$, where $n=2^{k_1}+2^{k_2}+...+2^{k_l}$, $k_i \geq 0$, $k_i < k_{i-1}$. Sylow subgroup $Syl_2(A_n)$ has index 2 in $Syl_2(S_n)$ and it's structure: $Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_2}} \boxtimes ... \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_l}}$.

Proof. Group $Syl_2(S_{2^k})$ is isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_2 \wr C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{k}$ [1, 10] and this group is isomorphic to $AutX^{[k]}$, that acts at 2^k vertices on X^k. In case $Syl_2(S_n)$, $\nexists k, k \in \mathbb{N} : n = 2^k$ but $n=2^{k_1}+2^{k_2}+...+2^{k_l}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is a direct product: $AutX^{[k_1]} \times ... \times AutX^{[k_l]}$ [7]. Power of 2 in this $|A_n|$: $\frac{2^{k_1}}{2}+\frac{2^{k_1}}{4}+...+1+\frac{2^{k_1}}{2}+\frac{2^{k_2}}{4}+...+1+\frac{2^{k_l}}{2}+...+1-(\underbrace{1+...+1}_{l})$. But only half of these automorphism determines even permutations on X^k so we have been subtracted 1 l times. For counting order of $AutT_{k_1} \times ... \times AutT_{k_l}$ we have to take in consideration that $AutX^{[k_i]}$ has active states on levels X^0, X^1, ... ,X^{k_i-1} and hasn't active states on X^{k_i} so $|AutX^{[k_i]}| = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot ... \cdot 2^{k_i-1} = 2^{2^{k_i}} - 1$.

Such presentations is unique because it determines by binary number presentation $n=2^{k_1}+ \ ... \ +2^{k_l}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, exactly for this presentation corresponds decomposition in direct product $Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \times Syl_2S_{2^{k_2}} \times ... \times Syl_2S_{2^{k_l}}$ and this decomposition determines 2-subgroup of maximal order. It is so, since for alternating decomposition $2^{k_1} = 2^l + 2^l$ order: $|Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}}| > |Syl_2S_{2^l} \times Syl_2S_{2^l}|$ or more precisely $|Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}}| = 2\,|Syl_2S_{2^l} \times Syl_2S_{2^l}|$ it following from structure of group binary trees.

For instance $Syl_2S_{22} \simeq Syl_2S_{16} \times Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_2$ and correspondent orders 2^{15}, 2^3, 2 so it's order is $2^{19} = 2^{15} \cdot 2^3 \cdot 2$, on the other hand order of Syl_2S_{22} by formula of Legendre is $2^{19} = 2^{11+5+2+1}$, analogously $Syl_2S_{24} \simeq Syl_2S_{16} \times Syl_2S_8$ and $2^{22} = 2^{15} \cdot 2^7$, on the other hand $2^{22} = 2^{12+6+3+1}$. Let us prove that such decompositions of Syl_2S_n and $AutT_n$ are unique in accord with $n=2^{k_1}+2^{k_2}+...+2^{k_m}$, where $k_1 > k_2 > ... > k_m \geq 0$.

Really in accord with Legender's formula $n!$ is divisible by 2 in power $n-m = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + ... + 2^{k_m} - m$ so $|Syl_2S_{22}| = 2^{n-m}$, group $AutT_{k_1} \times ... \times AutT_{k_m}$, where $k_1 > k_2 > ... > k_m \geq 0$, also has order $2^{2^{k_1-1}+...+2^{k_m-1}} = 2^{n-m}$ it follows from formula of geometric progression. Such decomposition $Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \times Syl_2S_{2^{k_2}} \times ... \times Syl_2S_{2^{k_l}}$ determines 2-Sylows subgroup of maximal order. It is so, since for alternating decomposition $2^{k_1} = 2^l + 2^l$ order: $|Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}}| > |Syl_2S_{2^l} \times Syl_2S_{2^l}|$ or more precisely $|Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}}| = 2\,|Syl_2S_{2^l} \times Syl_2S_{2^l}|$ it following from structure of group binary trees ($AutT_l \times AutT_l$) which correspondent for $Syl_2S_{2^l} \times Syl_2S_{2^l}$ and formula of geometric progression. It also follows from nesting (embeding) of Sylows subgroups. If $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} = 2^l + 2^l + 2^{k_3}$, where $2^{k_1} = 2^l + 2^l$, then $AutT_n \simeq AutT_{k_1} \times AutT_{k_2}$, if not then Syl_2A_n don't contains $Syl_2A_{2^{k_1}}$. $\square$

We call index of automorphism on X^l number on active states (v. p.) on X^l.

Definition 1. A generator of type $\mathtt{T}$ we will call automorphism $\tau_{i_0,\ldots,i_{2^{k-1}};j_{2^{k-1}},\ldots,j_{2^k}}$, that has even index at X^{k-1} and $\tau_{i_0,\ldots,i_{2^{k-1}};j_{2^{k-1}},\ldots,j_{2^k}} \in St_{AutX^k}(k-1)$, and it consists of odd number of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,j}$ with number $j \leq 2^{k-2}$ and odd number in vertexes $v_{k-1,j}$, $2^{k-2} < j \leq 2^{k-1}$. Set of such elements denote $\mathtt{T}$.

Definition 2. A combined generator is such automorphism $\beta_{l;\tau}$, that restriction $\beta_{l;\tau}\,|_{X^{k-1}}$ coincide with α_l from S_α and $Rist_{<\beta_{i_l;\tau}>}(k-1) = \langle\tau'\rangle$, where $\tau' \in \mathtt{T}$. Set of such elements denote $\mathtt{CG}$.

Definition 3. A combined element is such automorphism $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;\ldots;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau}$, that it's restriction $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;\ldots;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau}\,\big|_{X_{k-1}}$ coincide with one of elements that can be generated by S_α and $Rist_{<\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;\ldots;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau'}>}(k-1) = \langle\tau'\rangle$ where $\tau' \in \mathtt{T}$. Set of such elements denote $\mathtt{C}$.

In other word elements $g \in \mathtt{C}$ and $g' \in \mathtt{CG}$ on level X^{k-1} have such structure as generator of type $\mathtt{T}$.

By distance between vertexes we shall understand usual distance at graph between its vertexes. By distance of vertex permutations we shall understand maximal distance between two vertexes with active states from X^{k-1}.

Lemma 5. *A vertex permutations on X^k that has distance d_0 can not be generated by vertex permutations with distance d_1 : $d_1 < d_0$.*

Proof. Really vertex permutation τ_{ij} : $\rho(\tau_{ij}) = d_0$, $d_0 < d_1$ can be mapped by automorphic mapping only in permutation with distance d_0 because automorphism keep incidence relation and so it possess property of isometry. Also multiplication of portrait of automorphism τ_{ij} : $\rho(\tau_{ij}) = d_1$ give us automorphism with distance d_1, it follows from properties of group operation and property of automorphism to keep a distance between vertexes on graph. □

Lemma 6. *An automorphism τ (or $\tau' \in \mathtt{T}$) can be generated only with using odd number of automorphism from $\mathtt{C}$ or $\mathtt{T}$.*

Proof. Let us assume that there is no such τ_{ij} then accord to Lemma 5 it is imposable to generate are pair of transpositions τ_{ij} with distance $\rho(\tau_{ij}) = 2k$ since such transpositions can be generated only by τ_{ij} that described in the conditions of this Lemma: $i \leq 2^{k-2}$, $j > 2^{k-2}$. Combined element can be decomposed in product $\beta_{i_l;\tau} = \tau\dot{\beta}_{i_l}$ so we can express from it τ. If we consider product P of even number elements from $\mathtt{T}$ then automorphism P has even number of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,i}$ with number $i \leq 2^{k-2}$ so P does not satisfy the definition of generator of type $\mathtt{T}$. □

Corollary 3. *A generator of type $\mathtt{T}$ can not be generated by $\tau_{ij} \in Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ and $\tau_{ij} \in Autv_{1,2}X^{[k-1]}$. I.e. τ can not be generated by vertex permutations with distance between vertexes less than $2k-2$ as have only elements of $\mathtt{T}$ or elements that can be decomposed in product which contains elements of $\mathtt{T}$. The same corollary is true for a combined element.*

Proof. It can be obtained from the last two Lemmas 5 and 2 because such $\tau_{ij} \in Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ does not satisfy conditions of the Lemma 2. □

It's known that minimal generating system S_α of $AutT_{k-1}$ has $k-1$ elements [5], so if we complete it by τ (or element of type τ) or change one of its generators on $\beta \in \mathrm{T}$ we get system S_β: $G_k \simeq \langle S_\beta \rangle$ and $|S_\beta| = k$. So to construct combined element we multiply generator β_i of S_β (or arbitrary element β that can be express from S_β) by the element of type τ, i.e. we take $\tau' \cdot \beta_i$ instead of β_i and denote it $\beta_{i;\tau}$. It's equivalent that $Rist_{\beta_{1,i;2,\ldots,j;\tau}}(k) = \langle \tau' \rangle$, where τ' – generator of type τ.

Lemma 7. *Sets of elements of types* $\mathtt{T}$, $\mathtt{C}$ *are not closed by multiplication and raising to even power.*

Proof. Let $\varrho, \rho \in \mathtt{T}$ (or $\mathtt{C}$) and $\varrho, \rho = \eta$. The numbers of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,i}$, $1 \le i \le 2^{k-2}$ of ϱ and ρ sums by $mod2$, numbers of active states in vertexes on $v_{k-1,i}$, $1 \le i \le 2^{k-2}$ of ϱ and ρ sums by $mod2$ too. Thus η has even numbers of active states on these corteges. So $RiSt_{\langle\eta\rangle}(k-1)$ doesn't contains elements of type τ so $\eta \notin \mathtt{T}$. Really if we raise to even power element $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;\ldots;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau} \in \mathtt{T}$ or we (calculate) evaluate product of even number multipliers from $\mathtt{C}$ corteges μ_0 and μ_1 permutes with wholly subtrees $v_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ and $v_{1,2}X^{[k-1]}$, then we get element g with even index of X^k in $T_{k,0}$ and $T_{k,1}$. Thus $g \notin \mathtt{T}$. Consequently elements of $\mathtt{C}$ don't form a group, set $\mathtt{T}$ as a subset of $\mathtt{C}$ is not closed too. □

We have to take into account that all elements from $\mathtt{T}$ have the same main property to consists of odd number of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,j}$ with index $j \le 2^{k-2}$ and odd number in vertexes with index $j : 2^{k-2} < j \le 2^{k-1}$.

Let $S_\alpha = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-2} \rangle$, $S'_\alpha = \left\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_{0;1,(i_{11},i_{12});}, \ldots, \alpha_{0;1,(i_{11},\ldots);\ldots,k-2,(i_{k-2,1},\ldots)} \right\rangle$, $\langle S_\alpha \rangle = \left\langle S'_\alpha \right\rangle = AutX^{k-1}$, $rk\,(S_a) = k-1$ where $rk\,(S)$ is rank of system S and rank of group [12] generated by S. Let $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \tau_{i\ldots j}$, $\tau_{i\ldots j} \in \mathtt{T}$, $S'_\beta = \left\langle \beta_0, \beta_{1,(1);\tau^x}, \ldots, \beta_{k-2,(1);\tau^x}, \tau \right\rangle$, $x \in \{0,1\}$, note if $x = 0$ then $\beta_{l,(1);\tau^x} = \beta_l$. $S^*_\beta = \left\langle \beta_{0;1,(i_{11},i_{12});\ldots;m,(i_{m1},\ldots,i_{mj};\tau)},\ 0 < m < k-1, j \le 2^m \right\rangle$. In S'_β can be used τ instead of $\tau_{i\ldots j} \in T$ because it is not in principle for proof so let $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \tau$ hence $\langle S_\beta \rangle = G_k$. Without loss of generality of the proofs we use in S_β element τ instead of arbitrary $\tau_{i,\ldots,j} \in \mathtt{T}$, also in S^*_β we could use elements of $\mathtt{C}$: $\beta_{i_j;\tau}$, β_{i_m} instead more complex elements $\beta_{l_0,l_1,(i_{11},i_{12});\ldots;l_m,(i_{m1},\ldots,i_{mj};\tau)}$ that can be expressed from S'_β by conjugations and multiplications its elements, note that conjugation by $\beta_{l,(1);\tau^x}$ is the same that conjugation by $\beta_{l,(1)}$, because all such elements have property of elements from $\mathtt{C}$ to have odd number of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,j}$ with index $j \le 2^{k-2}$ and odd number in vertexes with index $j : 2^{k-2} < j \le 2^{k-1}$ what will be used in proof, hence S^*_β can be renewed by S'_β. Element $\beta_{l,(1+2^{l_1});\tau^x}$ can be expressed as $\beta_{l,(1+2^{l_1});\tau^x} = \beta_{(k-1)-l_1,(1);\tau^x}\beta_{l,(1);\tau^x}(\beta_{(k-1)-l_1,(1);\tau^x})^{-1}$.

To express element of type $\mathtt{T}$ from S'_β we have to use a word $\beta_{i,\tau}\beta_i^{-1} = \tau$ but if $\beta_{i,\tau} \in S'_\beta$ then $\beta_i \notin S'_\beta$ so we have to find relation in restriction of group G_k on X^{k-1} to express word $\beta_{i,\tau}\beta_i^{-1}|_{X^{k-1}} = e$ then $\beta_{i,\tau}\beta_i^{-1} = \tau$. Next lemma investigate existing of such word.

We have to take in consideration that $G_k\,|_{X^{k-1}} = B_{k-1} \simeq AutX^{k-1}$. Let us assume that $\beta_{i_0} \in S'_\beta$ for arbitrary $0 < i_0 < k$.

Lemma 8. *An element of type* $\mathtt{T}$ *cannot be expressed by* $S'_\beta = S_\beta \cup \{\beta_{i_0;\tau}\}\backslash\{\beta_{i_0}, \tau\}$ *with using product, where are odd number of the elements of combine type.*

Proof. Let's, show that an element of type τ cannot be expressed from S'_β without using in product of generators even number of combine generators, because word $\alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}...\alpha_{i_n} = e$ of $AutT_{k-1}$ is word that may be reduced to relation in $\underbrace{S_2 \wr ... \wr S_2}_{k-1}$, which studied in [9, 10]. We can express element of type τ only if we use elements from $\mathtt{C}$. Note that element of type τ belongs to $Ri\mathrm{St}_{AutT_k}(k-1)$. Let us show that automorphism $\alpha:\ \alpha\,|_{X^{k-1}} \in\ Ri\mathrm{St}_G(k)$, i.e. that is trivial at restriction on X^{k-1} and belongs to $\mathtt{T}$ can not be expressed from S'_β without using even number of elements from $\mathtt{C}$ because word $\alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}...\alpha_{i_n} = e$ of $AutT_{k-1}$ is word that may be reduced to relation in $\underbrace{S_2 \wr ... \wr S_2}_{k-1}$. It known that in wreath product $\wr^k_1\mathcal{C}_n$ holds a relations $\beta^m_i = e$ and $[\beta_i^{-1}\beta_j\beta_i, \sigma_j] = e,\ i < j,\ \langle\beta_j, \sigma_j\rangle \simeq G_j$, [10, 9] or more specially $[\beta^i_m\beta_{i_n.\tau}\beta^{-i}_m,\ \beta^j_m\beta_{i_k.\tau}\beta^{-j}_m] = e,\ \ i \neq j$, where n, k, m are number of group in $\wr^k_1\mathcal{C}_l$ $(m < n,\ m < k)$ [10], $\beta_{i_n},\ \beta_m,\ \beta_{i_k}$ is generator from S_β. As we see such relations r_i has structure of commutator so logarithm [8] of every r_i by every generator $\beta_i \in S_\beta$ is zero because every exponent entering with different signs so every element from $\mathtt{C}$ has logarithm 0 hence is not equal to element of T because Lemma 7. Really product of 2 arbitrary elements of $\mathtt{T}$ (analogously $\mathtt{C}$) isn't element of $\mathtt{T}$. So other way to express automorphism $\alpha:\ \alpha\,|_{X^{k-1}} \in\ Ri\mathrm{St}_{B_{k-1}}(k-1)$ doesn't exist according to relations in G_k. Cyclic relation in this group has form $\beta^{2^x}_{i_0;\tau} = e$, analogously for $\beta^{2y}_{1,i_1;2,i_2;...;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau} = e$ (analogously for $\beta^{2y}_{1,i_1;2,i_2;...;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau} = e$) because it is 2-group, so we need to raise generator to even power. So other way to express automorphism $\alpha:\ \alpha\,|_{X^{k-1}} \in\ Ri\mathrm{St}_{B_{k-1}}(k-1)$ does not exist according to relations in G_k. □

Lemma 9. *The systems* S_α *and* S'_α, S_β *and* S'_β *are in bijective correspondence.*

Proof. As is well known, for binary tree the rank of $AutX^{k-2}$ is $k-1$ [5], so for the elements of other least system of generators S'_α the elements of S_α are in bijective correspondence. Any element of other system S'_α has type $\alpha_{0,(i_0);1,(i_{11},i_{12});...;m,(i_{m1},...,i_{mJ})},\ m \leq k-2$ and elements of S_α: $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...,\ \alpha_{k-2}$. Set S^l_m of generators from S'_α each of which has non trivial state on X^l is such that generators from S^l_m has at least one active state from one of m different levels. So elements of S^l_m has m different preimages in S_α. This is Hall's condition for existence of the pairmaching, this condition is hold because these systems have the same rank [12]. Therefore we have bijection between S_α and S'_α. We construct S_β: $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \tau$. Let us show bijective correspondence between S_β and S'_β. To establish this correspondence we use that elements of S'_β are determed through $\beta_{i,(1)} = \alpha_{i,(1)} = \alpha_i \in S_\alpha$ for some elements of S_α and elements of $\mathtt{C}$ from S'_β are determed: $\beta_{j,(1);\tau} = \tau\alpha_j$. And S^*_β is determed by S'_α through $\beta_{0,(i_0);...;m,(i_{m1},...,i_{mJ})} = \alpha_{0,(i_0);...;m,(i_{m1},...,i_{mJ})} \in S'_\alpha$ for some elements of S'_β and elements of $\mathtt{C}$ from S^*_β determ

by $\beta'_{0,(i_0);1,(i_{11},i_{12});...;m,(i_{m1},...,i_{m2^m});\tau} = \tau\alpha_{0,(i_0);1,(i_{11},i_{12});...;m,(i_{m,1},...,i_{mJ})}$, $j \leq 2^{k-1}$, $\beta_{i,(1);\tau} \in C$. Element $\tau_{i...j}$ of type T can be expressed from $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \tau$ that is proved in Lemma 2. So we can get every $\beta_{i,(1);\tau_{i...j}} = \tau_{i...j}\alpha_i$ and every $\beta_{0,(i_0);1,(i_{11},i_{12});...;m,(i_{m,1},...,i_{mJ});\tau_{i...j}}$, $\beta_{0,(i_0);1,(i_{11},i_{12});...;m,(i_{m,1},...,i_{mJ})} \in S'_\alpha$. □

Corollary 4. *A necessary and sufficient condition of expressing an element τ from S'_β is existing of pair: $\beta_{i_m;\tau}$, β_{i_m} in $\langle S'_\beta\rangle$. So rank of a generating system of G_k which contains S'_β is at least k.*

Proof. Proof can be obtained from Lemma 8 and Lemma 7 from which we have that element of type T cannot be expressed from $[\beta^i_m\beta_{i_n.\tau}\beta^{-i}_m, \beta^j_m\beta_{i_k.\tau}\beta^{-j}_m] = e$, $i \neq j$ because such word has even number of element from C. Sufficient condition follows from relation $\beta_{i_m;\tau}\beta^{-1}_{i_m} = \tau$. □

Lemma 10. *A system of generators of G_k contains S'_α and has at least $k-1$ generators.*

Proof. Subgroup $B_{k-1} < G_k$ is isomorphic to $AutX^{k-1}$ that has a least system of generators of $k-1$ elements [5]. Moreover, the subgroup B_{k-1} is a quotient group ${}^{G_k}/_{W_{k-1}}$, because $W_{k-1} \lhd G_k$. As is well known that $\mathrm{rk}(G) \geq \mathrm{rk}(H)$, because all generators of G_k may contain in different quotient classes [6]. □

Let us assume that exists a system of generators S''_β for G_k, such that $rk(S''_\beta) = k-1$. Let $\beta_{i,\tau}$, $\beta_{i,\tau'}$ are restrictions of automorphisms of binary tree on X^k and restrictions of them on X^{k-1}. Let's denote them as $\alpha_i = \beta_{i,\tau}\,|_{X^{k-1}} = \beta_{i,\tau'}\,|_{X^{k-1}}$.

Remark 5. A system S''_β does not contain elements β_i and $\beta_{i,\tau}$ simultaneously.

Proof. Account Lemma 10 we have $rk(S'_\alpha) \geq k-1$ and $\langle S'_\alpha\rangle = B_{k-1}$, B_{k-1} as quotient group is embed in G_k. But Lemma 5 leading to it remains to express an element from T to generate G_k. And according to Lemma 10 $S''_\beta \supseteq S'_\alpha$ so S''_β already has $k-1$ generators.

If we converse condition of remark i.e. $\{\beta_i, \beta_{i,\tau}\} \in S''_\beta$, then we can express $\tau = \beta_{i,\tau}\cdot\beta^{-1}_i$ because $\langle S''_\beta\rangle = G_k$, where $\beta_i \in S'_\alpha$ for arbitrary $1 < i < k-1$. But S''_α together with $\beta_{i,\tau}$ has k generators that is contradiction with $rk(S''_\beta) = k-1$. If such elements exist that could be expressed $\beta_{i,\tau}$, $\beta_{i,\tau'}$, then them corresponds reduced words $\beta_{i,\tau}\,|_{X^{k-1}} = \beta_{i_1}\beta_{i_2}...\beta_{i_m} = \beta_{j_1}\beta_{j_2}...\beta_{j_m} = \beta_{i,\tau'}\,|_{X^{k-1}}$, where $\beta_{i_l}, \beta_{j_k} \in$C in particular case $\beta_{j_1}\beta_{j_2}...\beta_{j_m}\,|_{X^{k-1}} = \beta_i$. And $\beta_{i_1}\beta_{i_2}...\beta_{i_m}\,|_{X^{k-1}} = \alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}...\alpha_{i_m} = \alpha_{j_1}\alpha_{j_2}...\alpha_{j_l} = \beta_{j_1}\beta_{j_2}...\beta_{j_l}\,|_{X^{k-1}}$ so it could be expressed relation $\alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}...\alpha_{i_m}\alpha^{-1}_{j_l}\alpha^{-1}_{j_2}...\alpha^{-1}_{j_1} = e$. Because this is a relation from $S_2 \wr S_2 \wr ... \wr S_2$, then it has type of commutator or product of reciprocally inverse elements or generator in power 2^s and their products. So number of generators in such relation are even. Restriction on X^k of this elements give us product no equal to e so at least one generator α_i from word $\alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}...\alpha_{i_m}\alpha^{-1}_{j_l}\alpha^{-1}_{j_2}...\alpha^{-1}_{j_1} = e$ have different restrictions on X^k in different entering of α_i in relation that were obtained, in other words this generator has different images in S''_β which could be obtained via $\beta_{i,\tau} = \tau\alpha_i$(Lemma 9 about bijection). So such S''_β has rank on 1 more then S_α what is contradiction. □

As a corollary of Remark 5 we see that such S''_β of rank $k-1$ does not exist.

It is well known that for finite 2-group G: $G' < G^2$, so $G'G^2 = G^2$.

Statment 2. Frattini subgroup $\phi(G_k) = {G_k}^2 \cdot [G_k, G_k] = {G_k}^2$ acts by all even permutations on X^l, $0 \leq l < k-1$ and by all even permutations on X^k except for those from $\mathtt{T}$.

Proof. Index of automorphism α^2, $\alpha \in < S_\beta >$ on X^l is always even. Really the parity of the number of vertex permutations at X^l in the product $(\alpha_i\alpha_j)^2$, $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in S_\alpha$, $i, j < k$ is determined exceptionally by the parity of the numbers of vertex permutations at X^l in α and β (independently of the action of v.p. from the higher levels). On X^{k-1} group G^2 has all transpositions of form $\tau_{1i+1}, i \leq 2^{k-1}$ which can be generated in such way $(\alpha_{k-2}\tau_{12})^2 = \tau_{1234}$, $\tau_{12}\tau_{1234} = \tau_{34}$, $(\alpha_{k-i}\tau_{12})^2 = \tau_{1,2,1+2^{k-i},2+2^{k-i}}$ then $\tau_{1,2,1+2^{k-i},2+2^{k-i}}\tau_{12} = \tau_{1+2^{k-i},2+2^{k-i}}$. In such way we get set of form $\tau_{12}, \tau_{23}, , \tau_{34}, ..., \tau_{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k-1}}$. This set of transpositions is base for W_{k-1}.

Let us consider $(\alpha_0\alpha_l)^2 = \beta_{;(1,2^{l-1}+1)}$. Conjugation by element $\beta_{1(1,2)}$ (or $\beta_{i(1,2)}$, $0 < i < l$) give us ability to express arbitrary coordinate x : $1 \leq x \leq 2^{l-1}$ i.e. from $\beta_{l(1,2^{l-1}+1)}$ we express $\beta_{l(x,2^{l-1}+1)}$, for instance $x = 2^{j-1}+1$, $j < l$: $\beta_{l-j(1,2)}\beta_{l(1,2^{l-1}+1)}\beta_{l-j(1,2)} = \beta_{l(2^{j-1}+1,2^{l-1}+1)}$. If $x = 2^{l-j}+2$ it should to conjugate by $\beta_{l-j(1,2)}\beta_{l-1(1,2)}$, by such conjugations can be realized every shift on x as 2-adic number. So in such way can be realized every $\beta_{l(x,2^{l-1}+1)}$ and analogously every $\beta_{l(2^{l-1})}, y$ and $\beta_{l(x,y)}$. Hence we can express from elements of G^2 every even number of active states on X^l.

In the product (of portraits) $\alpha, \beta \in S_\alpha$ cortege of v. p. of X^l, $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ is determined only by parity of corteges from X^l of α and β. So $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ is permutation of even power on X^l, $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ thus commutators of generators from S_α generate permutation of even power. Really, let us generate by commutators of even permutations of X^1, let consider commutator $[\alpha_0, \alpha_1] = \beta_{0,1(1,1)}$, trivial v.p. can be expressed by $[\alpha_0, \alpha_0] = e$. The parity of the number of vertex permutations at X^l in the product $\alpha_i\alpha_j$, $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in S_\alpha$) is determined exceptionally by the parity of the numbers of vertex permutations at X^l in α and β (independently of the action of v.p. from the higher levels). Thus $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ has an even number of v. p. at each level. Therefore, the commutators of the generators from S_α generate only the permutations with even number of v. p. at each X^l, $(0 \leq l \leq k-2)$. Taking the products of all commutators with index l we can express all automorphisms those induce all even permutations on X^l. □

As a corollary we get that $(AutX^{[l]})'$ has all even indexes on each level $l \leq k-2$.

Structure of subgroup $G_k^2{G_k}' \lhd \overset{k}{\underset{1}{\wr}} S_2 \simeq AutX^{[k]}$ can be described in next way. This subgroup contains the commutant – G_k' so it has on each X^l, $0 \leq l < k-1$ all even indexes that can exists in vertexes of X^l. On X^{k-1} does not exist v.p. of type $\mathtt{T}$ that is, which has distance $2k-2$, rest of even indexes are present on X^{k-1}. It's so, because the sets of elements of types $\mathtt{T}$ and $\mathtt{C}$ are not closed under operation of taking the even power. Thus, the squares of the elements don't belong to $\mathtt{T}$ and $\mathtt{C}$ (because they have the distance, which is less than $2k-2$). That's why their products also aren't the elements of types $\mathtt{T}$ and $\mathtt{C}$, because, according to Lemma 5, the elements with the distance of v. p. less than $2k-2$ doesn't generate the elements with distance $2k-2$ after multiplication. To show that all even permutations on X^k can be expressed besides those automorphisms from $\mathtt{T}$ and $\mathtt{C}$ let us consider $\beta^2_{0,k-1(1,2^{k-1})} = \beta_{k-1(1,2^{k-2},2^{k-2}+1,\,2^{k-1})} \notin \mathtt{C}$ and denote that this automorphism

has index 4 on X^{k-1} and consider $\beta^2_{1(1),k-1(1,2^{k-2}+1)} = \beta_{k-1(1,2^{k-2})} \notin \mathtt{C}$ analogously for $\beta^2_{j(1),k-1(1,2^{k-1}+1)}, j < k-1$. Note that $\beta_{k-1(1,2^{k-1})} \notin \mathtt{C}$ but $\beta_{0,k-1(1,2^{k-2}+1)} \in$C. If we change j we can generate set of all even permutations (via multiplication of squares), which presents all automorphism from G_k besides that from T and C, this set of permutations forms normal subgroup in G_k. This implies the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *A quotient group* ${}^{G_k}/_{G_k^2 G_k'}$ *is isomorphic to* $\underbrace{C_2 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_2}_{k}$.

Proof. Proof follows from that $G_k^2 G_k' \simeq G_k^2 \lhd G_k$ and $\left|G : G_k^2 G_k'\right| = 2^k$. Restriction $G_k|_{X^k}$ acts only by permutations of 2 types by parity of permutation on sets $X_1 = \{v_{k,1}, ..., v_{k,2^{k-1}}\}$ and $X_2 = \{v_{k,2^{k-1}+1}, ..., v_{k,2^k}\}$, $X_1 \cup X_2 = X^k$. Type 1, where on X_1 and X_2 G_k acts by odd permutations (it means that on set vertexes of X^{k-1} over X_1 i.e. which joined by edge vertexes of X^{k-1} over X_1 (and X_2 analogously) automorphism of G_k has odd numbers of active states). And type 2 (elements of which form a normal subgroup $G_k(2)$ in G_k), where on X_1 and X_2 this permutations act by all even permutations. Subgroup $G_k^2 G_k'$ as $G_k(2)$ acts only by permutations of type 2 on X_1, X_2, because of Statement 2. These types of resulting permutations $\rho_1\rho_2\,|_{X^l}, \rho_1, \rho_2 \in G_k$ does not depend of portrait of automorphism on higher levels X^l, $0 \le l < k-1$ because parity of result depends only from parity of summands and does not depends of permutations of active states of X^l. So ${}^{G_k}/_{G_k^2 G_k'}\Big|_{X^1}$ has 2 quotient classes because acts as S_2 on X_1 but $G_k^2 G_k'$ acts only by even permutations on X_1. Index of normal subgroup $G_k^2 G_k'\,|_{X^2}$ of group G_k is 2^2 because G_k acts on X^2 by 2^3 permutations and $G_k^2 G_k'$ acts by 2 permutations so $|G_k\,|_{X^2} : G_k^2 G_k'\,|_{X^2}\,| = 2^2$. Analogously we have 2^i quotient classes for restriction on each level X^i, $0 < i \le k-2$. Index of every automorphism of X^{k-2} from $B_{k-1}' \simeq (AutX^{[k-1]})^2$ is even so ${St_{B_{k-1}}(k-2)}\,|_{X^{[k-1]}}\,/_{St_{B_{k-1}^2}(k-2)\,|_{X^{[k-1]}}} \simeq C_2$. We could count this quotient classes in other way by constructing a homorphism similar to [5] in C_2 from cortege of v.p. of level X^l which forming a subgroup $G_k(X^l)$ as sum of non trivial v.p. by mod2 from X^l, where $St_{G_k^2}(X^l)\,|_{X^l} \lhd St_{G_k}(X^l)\,|_{X^l} = G_k(X^l)$, $|St_{G_k}(l)\,|_{X^{[l]}} : St_{G_k^2}(l)\,|_{X^{[l]}}\,| = 2$. But for subgroup of v.p. on X^{k-1} we construct a homorphism in C_2 as a sum of non trivial v.p. by $\mathrm{mod}\,2$ on each set X_1 and X_2. For a subgroup of v.p. from X^{k-1} (denote it $G_k(X^{k-1})$, $G_k(X^{k-1}) \lhd G_k$) construct a homomorphism: $\rho\,(G_k(X_k)) \to C_2 \simeq {}^{G_k(X_k)}/_{G_k(2)}$ as product of sum by *mod*2 of active states (s_{ij}, $i \in \{0,1\}$, $0 < j \le 2^{k-2}$ if $s_{ij} \in X_1$) on each set X_1 and X_2: $\phi(X_1) \bullet \phi(X_2) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^{k-2}} s_{k-1,i}(\mathrm{mod}2) \bullet \sum\limits_{i=2^{k-2}+1}^{2^{k-1}} s_{k-1,i}(\mathrm{mod}2)$. Where $s_{k-1,i} = 1$ if there is active state in $v_{k-1,i}$, $s_{k-1,i} = 0$ if there is no active state. From structure of G_k follows that $\phi(X_1) = \phi(X_2)$ so 0 or 1. But $G_k^2 G_k'$ admits only permutations of Type 2 on X^k so $G_k^2 {G_k}'(X_k) \lhd G_k(X_k)$ because it holds conjugacy and kernel of map from $G_k(X_k)$ to C_2 is $G_k^2 {G_k}'(X_{k-1})$.

Consider subgroup of v.p. for arbitrary $0 \le l < k-1$, X^l (denote it $G_k(X^l)$, $G_k(X^l) \lhd G_k$). A number of v.p. in $G_k(X^l)$ can be as even as odd. But number of v.p. in $G_k^2 G_k'(X^l)$ is even so $G_k^2 {G_k}'(X^l) \lhd G_k(X^l)$ and there is 2 factor classes in ${}^{G_k(X^l)}/_{G_k^2 G_k'(X^l)}$ so it exists

homomorphism from $G_k(X^l)$ in C_2. Hence there is homomorphism from G_k to $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{C}_2$. So $\mid G_k : G_k^2 G_k' \mid = 2^k$ and correspondent quotient group is isomorphic to $\prod^{k} C_2$. □

Corollary 6. *Group G_k ($G_k \simeq A_{2^k}$) has least system of generators from k elements.*

Proof. Since quotient group of G_k by subgroup of Fratiny $G_k^2 G_k'$ has least system of generators from k elements because ${}^{G_k}\!/_{G_k^2 G_k'}$ is isomorphic to linear p-space ($p = 2$) of dimension k (or elementary abelian group) then G_k has rank k [13]. □

Main Theorem. The set $S_\beta = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{k-2}, \tau\}$, where $\beta_i = \alpha_i$, is a minimal generating system for a group G_k that is isomorphic to Sylow 2-subgroup of A_{2^k}.

We have isomorphism of G_k and $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ from Theorem 2, the minimality of S_β following from Lemma 8 which said that S_β has to contain an element of type T. If the system has form S_β' then, according to Corollary 4 S'_β has to compleated by elements: $\beta_{i_m;\tau}$, β_{i_m} or elements from which these elements can be expressed to get eltment τ (or element from T), so a new system has at least k elements. The necessity of τ or element of type T follows from Lemma and Corollary 3. So if we take in consideration Corollary 4 and corollary from Remark 5, then we see that $rk(Syl_2(A_{2^k})) = k$.

For example a minimal system of generators for $Syl_2(A_8)$ can be constructed by following way, for convenience let us consider the next system:



Consequently, in such way we construct second generating system for A_{2^k} of k elements that is less than in [3], and this system is minimal.

Let us consider function of Morse [14] $f : D^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ that painted at pict. 2 and graph of Kronrod-Reeb [15] which obtained by contraction every set's component of level of $f^{-1}(c)$ in point. Group of automorphism of this graph is isomorphic to $Syl_2 S_{2^k}$ where $k = 2$ in general case we have regular binary rooted tree for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$.



Picture 2.

According to investigations of [16] for D^2 we have that $Syl_2S_{2^k} > G_k \simeq Syl_2A_{2^k}$ is quotient group of group of diffeomorphisms which stabilize function and isotopic to identity. Analogously to investigations of [15, 16] there is short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}^m \to \pi_1 O_f(f) \to G \to 0$, where G-group of automorphisms Reeb's (Kronrod-Reeb) graph[15] and $O_f(f)$ is orbit under action of group of diffeomorphisms, so it could be way to transfer it for a group $Syl_2(S_{2^k})$, where m in $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is number of inner edges (vertices) in Reeb's graph, in case for Syl_2S_4 we have $m = 3$.

Higher half of projection of manifold from pic. 2 can be deteremed by product of the quadratic forms $-((x+4)^2+y^2)((x+3)^2+y^2)((x-3)^2+y^2)((x-4)^2+y^2) = z$ in points $(-4,0)(-3,0)(3,0)(4,0)$ it reach a maximum value 0. Generally there is $-d_1^2d_2^2d_3^2d_4^2 = z$.

Also from Statement 2 and corollary from it about $(AutX^{[k]})'$ can be deduced that derived length of $Syl_2A_2^k$ is not always equal to k as it was said in Lemma 3 of [1] because in case A_{2^k} if $k = 2$ its $Syl_2A_4 \simeq K_4$ but K_4 is abelian group so its derived length is 1.

3. Conclusion

The proof of minimality of constructed generating set was done, also the description of the structure $Syl_2A_{2^n}$ and its property was founded.

References

[1] *U. Dmitruk, V. Suschansky* Structure of 2-sylow subgroup of symmetric and alternating group. UMJ. 3 (1981) 304-312 P.

[2] *R. Skuratovskii* Generators and relations for sylows p-subgroup of group S_n. Naukovi Visti KPI. 4 (2013) 94 -105 P.

[3] *V. Ivanchenko* System of generators for 2-sylow subgroup alternating group, Four ukraine conference of young scientists. Kiev: *KPI* (2015, (http://matan.kpi.ua/uk/ysmp4conf.html)), 60 P.

[4] *Nekrashevych V.* Self-similar groups. International University Bremen. American Mathematical Society. Monographs. Volume 117. 230 p.

[5] *R.I. Grigorchuk,* Solved and unsolved problems around one group. Infinite Groups: Geometric, Combinatorial and Dynamical Aspects. Basel2005. Progress Math., vol 248. pp. 117-218.

[6] *V. Magnus, A. Karras, D. Soliter* Combinatorial Group Theory: Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations. – M.: Science, 1974. 453 p.

[7] *V.S. Sikora, B.I. Suschanskii* Operations on groups of permutations. Chernivci: Ruta, 2003. 256 p.

[8] *M. I. Kargapolov, J. I. Merzljakov* Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups Springer. Softcover reprint of the original 1st ed. 1979 edition. Springer. 1st ed. 1979. 312 p.

[9] *Y.A. Drozd, R.V. Skuratovskii* "Generators and and relations for wreath products of groups". Ukr. matem. zhurn. - 60, No. 7. - 2008. 997-999 P.

[10] *R. Skuratovskii.* Generators and relations for sylows p-subgroup of group S_n. *Naukovi Visti KPI.* **4** (2013) 94–105 P. (in Ukrainian)

[11] *Louis Weisner* "On the Sylow Subgroups of the Symmetric and Alternating Groups". American Journal of Mathematics Vol. 47, No. 2 (Apr., 1925), 121-124 P.

[12] *Oleg Bogopolski* An Introduction to the Theory of Groups. European Mathematical Society, 2008. — 189 p.

[13] *J. J. Rotman* An introduction to the Theory of Groups. New Yourk. Springer 1995 – XV. 513 p.

[14] *V. V. Sharko* Smooth topological equivalence of functions of surfaces. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 5 (2003), 687-700 P. (in Russian).

[15] *S. I. Maksymenko* Homotopy types of stabilizers and orbits of Morse functions on surfaces. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, vol. 29, no. 3 (2006), 241-285 P.

[16] *S. I. Maksymenko* Path-components of Morse mappings space Some problems of contemporary mathematics. Proceedings of Intsitute of Mathematics of Ukrainian NAS, vol. 25, (1998), 135-153 P.